

**Table 1: Panel recommendations with Council Officer's recommended response**

No.	Panel recommendations	Officer recommendations
1. Amend the schedule to Clause 43.01 (Heritage Overlay) as follows:		
1a	Amend Heritage Overlay HO239 to refer to 'Middle Park Primary School No 2815' and update property address to 194 Richardson Street (part), Middle Park	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p>
1b	Amend Heritage Overlay HO489 to assign a new Heritage Overlay number and amend the property address to '29A Albert Road Drive, Albert Park'	<p><b>Do not accept the Panel's recommendation; refer to Heritage Victoria to action</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>Council sought to make minor changes to the citation only. Through the Panel process it became apparent that the Heritage Overlay number (HO489) is used twice in the schedule to the Heritage Overlay. HO489 also applies to a property at 44 Wellington Street, St Kilda. The Panel therefore recommended that the property be assigned a new Heritage Overlay number. The property address was already proposed to be amended in the citation.</p> <p>Because this property is on the Victorian Heritage Register, while Council can update the citation as originally proposed, only Heritage Victoria can assign a new Heritage Overlay number to it.</p> <p>An alternative option would be for Council to assign a new number of the other property at 44 Wellington Street, St Kilda, however as this property is not included within this amendment it is best practice to not include it now at the final stage.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended that Council does not accept the Panel's recommendation, but instead writes to Heritage Victoria and asks them to assign a new Heritage Overlay number to the Former Royal Australian Corps of Signals Drill Hall at Albert Road Drive, Albert Park (currently HO489), and</p>

		<p>amend the property address to '29A Albert Road Drive, Albert Park' in their next 'fix-up' amendment.</p> <p>This is a suitable alternative, because Heritage Victoria undertake routine 'fix-up' amendments of properties on the Victorian Heritage Register. Importantly, until this happens there will be no impact on the operation and effectiveness of either Heritage Overlay, given this is a clear anomaly within the planning scheme.</p>
1c	Amend Heritage Overlay HO260 to refer to the 'Elwood Central School No. 3942' and replace the address with 49 Scott Street and 161 Mitford Street (part), Elwood	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p>
1d	Amend Heritage Overlay HO176 to refer to 'MacRobertson Girls' High School' and update property address to 350-370 Kings Way, Melbourne.	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p>
2. Amend map No. HO8 to:		
2a	Amend Map no 8HO to reduce the curtilage of Heritage Overlay HO260 around the former Infants' School at Elwood Primary School to the rectangular curtilage of the building as proposed by Submitter 142.	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p>
3. Amend the Port Phillip Heritage Review to:		

3a	<p>Amend Citation 2311 – Railway Cutting and Bridges to:</p> <p>(i) remove references to 333, 335-337 and 341-351 Ferrars Street, South Melbourne from the citation address and map</p> <p>(ii) specify that 335-337 Ferrars Street, South Melbourne and the former South Melbourne Cycling Club building do not contribute to the significance of the Railway Cutting and Bridges Precinct</p>	<p><b>Accept the Panel’s recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel’s recommendation is consistent with Council’s submission to the Panel based on the evidence of Council’s heritage expert.</p> <p>Prior to the panel hearing it became evident that a property boundary mapping anomaly had caused these properties to be included in the HO map for the railway reserve that was only intended to include the bluestone bridges.</p>
3b	<p>Amend Citation 2152 with the name of the principal place revised to that of its Victorian Heritage Register listing Former Royal Australian Corps of Signals Drill Hall.</p>	<p><b>Accept the Panel’s recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel’s recommendation was not consistent with Council’s position. However, it was consistent with Council’s heritage expert’s position.</p> <p>This change is required to ensure that the <i>Port Phillip Heritage Review</i>, including Citation 2152 and the schedule to Clause 43.01, are consistent with the Victorian Heritage Register.</p>
3c	<p>Amend Citation 2409 - Houses to include genealogical research confirming that James Downie Senior was the contractor for the houses at 2-6 Blanche and 110-118 Barkly Streets, St Kilda</p>	<p><b>Accept the Panel’s recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel’s recommendation was not consistent with Council’s position. However, it was consistent with Council’s heritage expert’s position.</p> <p>The genealogical research undertaken by Council’s heritage expert in respect to the purported building contractor revealed that despite the difference between the two types of houses that this</p>

		group is comprised of, they form a cohesive group because of their history (built in short succession under a single builder) and their physical arrangement in an L-shape around the corner of Blanche and Barkly Streets.
3d	Amend the revised Citation 1106 – Middle Park Primary School to update the property address to '194 Richardson Street (part), Middle Park'	<b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b>  Minor change.  The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.
3e	Include a revised Citation for MacRobertson Girls' High School to update the address to '350-370' Kings Way, Melbourne	<b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b>  Minor change.  The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.
3f	Amend Citation 48 to update the property address from 'Ingles Street' to read '164 Ingles Street and 14 Woodruff Street, Port Melbourne', including an update to the mapping and wording to ensure the former factory complex on the subject land is acknowledged for historical importance (Criterion A)	<b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b>  Minor change.  The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.
4. Amend the Heritage Policy Maps to:		
4a.	Amend the heritage grading of the railway reserve (Railway Cutting and Road Bridges) as described	<b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b>  Minor change.

	in Citation 2311 to Significant within Heritage Overlay HO440	<p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's submission to the Panel based on the evidence of Council's heritage expert.</p> <p>As originally proposed by Council, they were proposed to be graded Significant within HO441. The significance of the bridges is more aligned to the significance of HO440 (Emerald Hill Precinct) than HO441 (St Vincent Place East), so this change is sensible.</p>
4b.	Amend the heritage grading of 333, 335-337 and 341-351 Ferrars Street, South Melbourne from Significant to Non-contributory within Heritage Overlay HO441	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's submission to the Panel based on the evidence of Council's heritage expert.</p> <p>Prior to the panel hearing it became evident that a property boundary mapping anomaly had caused these properties to be included in the HO map for the railway reserve that was only intended to include the bluestone bridges. Accordingly, these properties will remain as being non-contributory within HO441.</p>
4c.	Amend the heritage grading of 3 Havelock Street, St Kilda from Significant to Non-contributory within Heritage Overlay HO5	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p> <p>This site was developed with a carpark after the heritage review was prepared.</p>
4d.	Amend the heritage grading of 206 Page Street, Middle Park from Significant to Non-contributory within Heritage Overlay HO444	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's submission to the Panel based on the evidence of Council's heritage expert.</p> <p>Council's position was that the grading should remain Significant. However Council's appointed heritage expert, Ms Schmeder recommended it be non-contributory.</p>

		This is because in the lead up to the Panel hearing, it became apparent that the dwelling had been demolished since the heritage review was undertaken.
4e.	Amend the heritage grading of 291 The Boulevard, Port Melbourne from Contributory to Non-Contributory within Heritage Overlay HO2	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's submission to the Panel based on the evidence of Council's heritage expert.</p> <p>Ms Schmeder's opinion was that the dwelling had been significantly altered to the point where it had no contributory value. Alterations to the front façade including a balcony carried out under a 2017 planning permit (P195/2017) contributed to this.</p>
4f.	Amend the heritage grading of 137-139 Fitzroy Street, St Kilda from Non-Contributory to Contributory in Heritage Overlay HO5 (St Kilda Hill Precinct)	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's submission to the Panel based on the evidence of Council's heritage expert.</p> <p>As exhibited, the building was proposed to be graded Significant. Ms Schmeder's opinion was that number of significant alterations to the building that have occurred over time mean that the lower grading of Non-contributory was warranted in this instance.</p>
5. Amend the Neighbourhood Character Map to:		
5a.	Remove the proposed 'Contributory outside the Heritage Overlay' grading for 146 Dow Street, Port Melbourne	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p>
6. Amend the Statements of Significance for:		
6a.	Glen Eagles, Kinross and Kinfauns (58-60 Queens Road, Melbourne) to remove reference to developers	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>Minor change.</p>

	O'Donohue and Lynch and inclusion of Criterion D in the section "Why is it significant"	<p>The Panel's recommendation was not consistent with Council's position. However, it was consistent with Council's heritage expert's position.</p> <p>Ms Schmeder identified that the property developers O'Donohue and Lynch referenced in citation 2226 were not of sufficient significance to warrant inclusion in the "Why is it significant" section of the citation.</p>
6b.	Elwood Central School No. 3942 (49 Scott Street and 161 Mitford Street, Elwood) to remove reference to shelter sheds and reference to the pair of Italian Cypress trees at the porch of the 1926 Infants' School	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation is consistent with Council's position as adopted at the 16 September Council meeting.</p> <p>The trees and sheds had been removed since the heritage review was undertaken.</p>
Abandon:		
7	The proposal to regrade 207 Little Page Street, Middle Park to Significant and make any consequential changes	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation was not consistent with Council's position. However, it was consistent with Council's heritage expert's position.</p> <p>Consistent with Ms Schmeder's opinion, the Panel found that while in some ways the dwelling is recognisable as a Federation era dwelling, this is not in itself sufficient to justify a Significant grading within the precinct. Further, many key elements of the building have been significantly altered, including the bagging and overpainting of the brickwork, likely alteration or replacement of the windows and roof materials, and changes to detailing.</p> <p>Since the Panel report was issued, a planning permit was issued at the direction of VCAT for 'demolition of the existing dwelling and construction of a single double storey dwelling with basement and roof terrace'.</p> <p>In light of this, it is recommended that the regrading be abandoned in accordance with the Panel's</p>

		recommendation.
7	The regrading of 10-18 Jacka Boulevard, St Kilda (St Kilda Sea Baths) from its current grading of Non-contributory to Contributory to enable the Council to undertake further investigation into the social and historical significance of the place, if any.	<p><b>Accept the Panel's recommendation</b></p> <p>The Panel's recommendation was not consistent with Council's position or that of Council's heritage expert.</p> <p>The Panel's findings were that whilst the Sea Baths have a strong legacy, the reconstructed buildings do not meet the requisite threshold for a Contributory grading. This is based on their lack of historical or aesthetic significance or representativeness. However, the Panel recommended that should Council wish to pursue updating the grading, further investigation is required into whether the baths have any social and/or historical significance.</p> <p>Council officers recommend that the panel's recommendation be adopted and the grading change to the baths be abandoned. In the future and subject to resourcing, Council may wish to undertake these further investigations. Importantly, the baths will remain in the heritage overlay, but with a non-contributory grading.</p>